Single Bench

(2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION No. (S) 4226 OF 2006

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PETITIONER :: Bhola Shankar Tiwari, S/o. late Shri Heera Lal Tiwari , aged about 46 years, R/o. Saraipali, Tahsil Saraipali, District- Mahasamund (C.G.),

P.R. No. 4209/06
Presented by Shri M.K. Singh
dated 5/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) State of Chhattisgarh, Acting through Secretary, School Education, Department, DKS Bhavan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

: 2) Collector District, Mahasamund

: 3) District Education Officer, Mahasamund (C.G.)

: 4) Head Master, Government Primary School, Lukapara, Tahsil Saraipali, District Mahasamund (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
5/8/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(3)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT/WRITS, ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS etc.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(27)

मामला क्रमांक WP. 4226/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

14-8-2006

Shri M.K. Sinha, counsel for the petitioner.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order Annexure-P/3 dated 7-7-2006 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Saraipali to Bokaramudakhurd, Bagh.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that he has been transferred showing that the transfer has been made on the request of the petitioner whereas, the petitioner had never requested for his transfer, therefore, the petitioner has been transferred on wrong ground.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation bringing this anomaly to the notice of respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide the same, to which counsel for the respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition and annexures to respondent No.1 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

of the representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law.

In the meantime, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed, till the representation of the petitioner is decided.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3283/2006 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

Barve

P.T.O.